SIXTY-DAY NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FOR VIOLATION OF THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986

(Cal. Health & Saf. Code, §§ 25249.5 et seq.) ("Proposition 65")

12/11/2006

Jim Wurz, President Bonide Products, Inc. 6301 Sutliff Rd. Oriskany, NY 13424

AND THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORS LISTED ON THE DISTRIBUTION LIST ACCOMPANYING THE ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Re: Violations of Proposition 65 concerning Bonide SHOT•GUN™ Rabbit & Dog Repellent, in a 1 lb. package.

Dear Mr. Wurz:

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG"), the noticing entity, serves this Notice of Violation ("Notice") upon Bonide Products, Inc. ("Violator") pursuant to and in compliance with Proposition 65. Violator may contact CAG concerning this Notice through its designated person within the entity, its attorney, Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq., 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010, telephone no. 213-382-3183, facsimile no. 213-382-3430. This Notice satisfies a prerequisite for CAG to commence an action against Violator in Superior Court of California to enforce Proposition 65. The violations addressed by this Notice occurred in each county in California in which Violator delivered the product in question to a California resident as reflected in the district attorney addresses listed in the attached distribution list. CAG is serving this Notice upon each person or entity responsible for the alleged violations, the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county where alleged violations occurred, and the City Attorney for each city with a population (according to the most recent decennial census) of over 750,000 located within counties where the alleged violations occurred.

- CAG is a registered corporation based in California. By sending this Notice, CAG is acting "in the
 public interest" pursuant to Proposition 65. CAG is a nonprofit entity dedicated to protecting the
 environment, improving human health, and supporting environmentally sound practices.
- This Notice concerns violations of the warning prong of Proposition 65, which states that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . ." (Cal. Health & Saf. Code, § 25249.6.)
- The chemical known to the State to cause Reproductive Toxicity (developmental) relevant to this Notice
 is Nicotine. On April 1, 1990, the Governor of California added Nicotine to the list of chemicals known
 to the State to cause Reproductive Toxicity (developmental), which was more than twenty months
 before CAG served this Notice.
- The chemical known to the State to cause Cancer relevant to this Notice is Naphthalene. On April 19, 2002, the Governor of California added Naphthalene to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause Cancer, which was more than twenty months before CAG served this Notice.

• This Notice addresses consumer products exposure. "A 'consumer products exposure' is an exposure which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, § 12601(b).)

Violator caused consumer product exposures in violation of Proposition 65 by producing, selling, and sending to California residents Bonide SHOT•GUNTM Rabbit & Dog Repellent, in a 1 lb. package ("SHOT•GUN"), the packaging for which (meaning any label or other written, printed or graphic matter affixed to or accompanying the product or its container or wrapper) contains no Proposition 65-complaint warning. SHOT•GUN contains Nicotine and Naphthalene. Nor did Violator, pertinent to SHOT•GUN, provide a system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system, which provided clear and reasonable warnings. Nor did Violator, pertinent to SHOT•GUN, provide identification of the product at retail outlets in a manner that provided a warning through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof. SHOT•GUN is for use around ornamentals, trees, and shrubs, and perimeter of vegetable gardens to discourage domestic dogs (Canis familiaris) from defecating on or near treated lawns or in treated areas around ornamental plants, trees, shrubs, and pavements. This product is also for repelling cottontail rabbits (Sylvilagus floridanus) from entering, feeding in, or defecating within treated areas associated with flower gardens, ornamental plants, trees, and shrubs.

These violations occurred each and every day between December 13, 2003, and December 11, 2006, and continuing thereafter.

The principal routes of exposure were through inhalation and dermal contact caused when users of SHOT•GUN breathed in or allowed bare skin to touch, inadvertently or intentionally, the powdery product during use (by inverting the container while clasping the same, in two or more inch wide bands around the plants or the areas to be protected) by directly touching product while applying it to plants or by touching plant surfaces immediately after applying product and thereby touching product containing relevant chemicals or by breathing in the same. Exposures occurred during regular use of product (after removing all traces of animal droppings and urine from the areas to be protected) around ornamental plants, trees, and shrubs to discourage new defectations by dogs and visitations by rabbits. Users suffered especially frequent exposures when heavy rains, heavy snowfalls, hot weather, or high winds occurred, necessitating numerous applications.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be given to the violator(s) 60 days before the suit is filed. With this letter, CAG gives notice of the alleged violations to Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities. In absence of any action by the appropriate governmental authorities within 60 calendar days of the sending of this notice (plus ten calendar days because the place of address is outside the State of California but within the United States), CAG may file suit.

Dated: Becember 11, 2006

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. Yeroushalmi & Associates

Attorneys for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

Appendix A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACTION 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and its implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 12000 through 14000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List." Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 550 chemicals have been listed as of May 1, 1996. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release, or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that

the warning must:(1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of listing of the chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000-fold safety or uncertainty

factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

Discharge that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply If the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the list chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys(those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations(Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Section 12903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900.

§14000. Chemicals Required by State or Federal Law to

Have been Tested for Potential to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity, but Which Have Not Been Adequately Tested As Required.

(a) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals formally required by state or federal agencies to have testing for carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity, but that the state's qualified experts have not found to have been adequately tested as required [Health and Safety Code 25249.8)c)].

Readers should note a chemical that already has been designated as known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity is not included in the following listing as requiring additional testing for that particular toxicological endpoint. However, the "data gap" may continue to exist, for purposes of the state or federal agency's requirements. Additional information on the requirements for testing may be obtained from the specific agency identified below.

(b) Chemicals required to be tested by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation.

The Birth Defect Prevention Act of 1984(SB 950) mandates that the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) review chronic toxicology studies supporting the registration of pesticidal active ingredients.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. My business address is 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 480, Los Angeles, CA 90010.

I SERVED THE FOLLOWING:

- 1) 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue Under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6
- 2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)
- 3) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) Attorney General Copy (only sent to Attorney General)
- 4) The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary by enclosing copies of the same in a sealed envelope, along with an unsigned copy of this declaration, addressed to each person shown below and depositing the envelope in the U.S. mail with the postage fully prepaid. Place of Mailing: Los Angeles, CA

Name and address of each violator to whom documents were mailed:

Jim Wurz, President Bonide Products, Inc. 6301 Sutliff Rd. Oriskany, NY 13424

Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed:				
See Distribution List				
I declare under percorrect.	nalty of perjury under th	he laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and		
Date of Mailing:	12/12/2006			
	•	By:		
		Silva Albaryan		

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Name and address of each public prosecutor to whom documents were mailed)

Alameda County District	Los Angeles County District	Mono County District Attorney
Attorney	Attorney	PO Box 617
1225 Fallon St, Room 900	210 W Temple St, 18th Floor	Bridgeport, CA 93517
Oakland, CA 94612	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
Alpine County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	San Joaquin County District
PO Box 248	209 W Yosemite Ave	Attorney
Markleeville, CA 96120	Madera, CA 93637	PO Box 990
		Stockton, CA 95201 -0990
Amador County District Attorney	Mariposa County District	San Francisco County District
708 Court, Suite 202	Attorney	Attorney
Jackson, CA 95642	P.O. Box 730	850 Bryant St, Rm 322
Guidella Social	Mariposa, CA 95338	San Francisco, CA 94103
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	
25 County Center Dr.	2501 Conta Contact Drive (1120	San Diego County District
	3501 Civic Center Drive, #130	Attorney
Oroville, CA 95965-3385	San Rafael, CA 94903	330 W. Broadway, Ste 1300
		San Diego, CA 92101-3803
Calaveras County District	Mendocino County District	San Bernardino County District
Attorney	Attorney	Attorney
891 Mountain Ranch Road	P.O. Box 1000	316 N Mountain View Ave
San Andreas, CA 95249	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004
Office of the Attorney General	Los Angeles City Attorney	San Francisco City Attorney
P.O. Box 70550	200 N Main St Ste 1800	# 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
Oakland, CA 94612-0550	Los Angeles CA 90012	Suite 234
	The state of the s	San Francisco, CA 94102
Colusa County District Attorney	Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney
Courthouse, 547 Market St.	P.O. Drawer D	11562 "B" Ave
Colusa, CA 95932	Independence, CA 93526	Auburn, CA 95603-2687
Contra Costa County District	Orange County District Attorney	
Attorney	PO Box 808	Merced County District Attorney 2222 "M" St.
725 Court St., Room 402	Santa Ana, CA 92702	1
Martinez, CA 94553	Santa Ana, CA 92702	Merced, CA 95340
Del Norte County District	News do Courte District Att	1
Attorney	Nevada County District Attorney	Napa County District Attorney
450 "H" St.	201 Church St. Suite 8	PO Box 720
1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Nevada City, CA 95959-2504	Napa, CA 94559-0720
Crescent City, CA 95531	771	
El Dorado County District	Plumas County District Attorney	Riverside County District
Attorney	520 Main Street, Rm 404	Attorney
515 Main St.	Quincy, CA 95971	4075 Main St
Placerville, CA 95667-5697		Riverside, CA 92501
Fresno County District Attorney	Sacramento County District	San Benito County District
2220 Tulare St, Ste. 1000	Attorney	Attorney
Fresno, CA 93721	901 G Street	419 4th St
	Sacramento, CA 95814	Hollister, CA 95023
Glenn County District Attorney	San Luis Obispo County District	Siskiyou County District
PO Box 430	Attorney	Attorney
Willows, CA 95988	County Government Center, Rm	PO Box 986
	450	Yreka, CA 96097
	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	
Humboldt County District	San Mateo County District	Solano County District Attorney
Attorney	Attorney	600 Union Ave
825 5th St., 4 th Floor	400 County Center	;
Eureka, CA 95501	Redwood City, CA 94063	Fairfield, CA 94533
THEOREM CA 20001	LACTIMODO CITY, CA 94003	<u> </u>

Imperial County District Attorney	Santa Barbara County District	Panama Causa District
939 W. Main St., 2 nd Floor	Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney
El Centro, CA 92243-2860	1112 Santa Barbara St.	600 Administration Dr.,
m comio, cir 722-0-2000		Rm 212-J
Kern County District Attorney	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Santa Rosa, CA 95403
1215 Trustun Ave	Santa Clara County District	Shasta County District Attorney
	Attorney	1525 Court St, 3rd Floor
Bakersfield, CA 93301	70 W Hedding St.	Redding, CA 96001-1632
T	San Jose, CA 95110	<u> </u>
Kings County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District	Sierra County District Attorney
Gov't Ctr. 1400 W Lacey Blvd	Attorney	PO Box 457
Hanford, CA 93230	PO Box 1159	Downieville, CA 95936-0457
	Santa Cruz, CA 95061	,
Lake County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District	Trinity County District Attorney
255 N Forbes St	Attorney	PO Box 310
Lakeport, CA 95453-4790	PO Box 442	Weaverville, CA 96093
	Modesto, CA 95353	, 21179035
Modoc County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	Yuba County District Attorney
204 S. Court Street	446 Second Street	215 5th St
Alturas, CA 96101-4020	Yuba City, CA 95991	Marysville, CA 95901
San Diego City Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	Monterey County District
City Center Plaza	200 S Lassen St. Suite 8	Attorney
1200 3rd Ave # 1100	Susanville, CA 96130	PO Box 1131
San Diego, CA 92101	, 512 5125	Salinas, CA. 93902
Tuolumne County District	Tulare County District Attorney	Yolo County District Attorney
Attorney	County Civic Center, Rm 224	310 Second St
2 S Green St	Visalia, CA 93291	
Sonora, CA 95370		Woodland, CA 95695
Ventura County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney	San Jose City Attorney
800 S Victoria Ave	P.O. Box 519	151 W. Mission St
Ventura, CA 93009	Red Bluff, CA 96080	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
£ -4		San Jose, CA 95110
 .	L	

Bonide SHOT•GUN™ Rabbit & Dog Repellent

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

I, Reuben Yeroushalmi, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the party(s) identified in the notice(s) has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: December 11,206

By: REUBEN YEROUSHALMI